

Education Funding

1995-2002 Period Highlights

- Overall revenue growth from \$11.7 billion to \$18.5 billion
 - Smallest overall increase 3.02% (2002)
 - Largest overall increase 9.36% (1999)
- State Spending grew from \$3.8 billion to \$7.2 billion
 - Smallest state increase: 5.33% (1996)
 - Largest state increase: 16.6% (1999)

Source: 2005 ISBE Annual Report, page 12

Education Funding 1995-2002 Period Highlights

- State funding increases by Administration:
(Using current administration's cumulative methodology)

Blagojevich

(2004-2007)

FY04 \$400

FY05 \$389

FY06 \$330

FY07 \$415

\$3.842 B

Ryan

(2000-2003)

FY00 \$700

FY01 \$431

FY02 \$396

FY03 ~~-\$297~~

\$4.588 B

Edgar

(1996-1999)

FY96 \$202

FY97 \$312

FY98 \$542

FY99 \$805

\$3.633 B

- State Funding Increases 1995-2002:
\$8.523 Billion

Source: 2005 ISBE Annual Report, Page 12 Ryan and Edgar Administrations
2007 Governor Blagojevich Budget Powerpoint and FY07 Final Appropriations

Education Funding Unequalled Revenue Increases...

Education Revenues from 1995 to 2002:

(Sources: 1995---ISBE 2001 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78)

2002---ISBE 2002 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78

	1995	2002	
– Federal	.694	1.276	
– State	3.274	5.483	
– Local	7.828	10.799	
– TOTAL	11.796	17.558	
– INCREASE 1995-2002:		5.762	49%

Education Funding ... And Spending Increases

- Education Spending:

(Sources: 1995---ISBE 2001 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78)
2002---ISBE 2002 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78

1995 total Spending: \$11.826

2002 total Spending: \$19.550

INCREASE: \$ 7.724 65%

Education Funding

1995-2002 Rev/Exp Summary

	1995	2002	Increase
Revenues	11.796	17.558	5.762
Spending	11.826	19.550	7.724
GAP	.030	1.992	1.962

(Sources: 1995---ISBE 2001 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78)
 2002---ISBE 2002 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 78

Number of Districts deficit spending:

1995	2002
401	667

(Sources: 1995---ISBE 2001 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 79-142)
 2002---ISBE 2002 Annual Statistical Report---Net to Districts---Page 79-142

:

Education Funding

Red Ink in the poorest districts...

- Of the 50 Illinois School Districts with the Lowest Equalized Assessed Value per pupil (EAVPP)
 - In 1995: 17 had budget deficits
 - In 2002: 36 had budget deficits

Education Funding

...and Red Ink in the Richest Districts

- Of the 50 Illinois School Districts with the Highest EAVPP
 - In 1995: 15 had budget deficits
 - In 2002: 36 had budget deficits

Education Funding

Operating Expenditures per pupil

- Unprecedented growth in state spending raised the spending in the lowest spending districts

	1995	2002	Diff	%
Lowest	2,932	\$4,339	\$1,407	48%
	St. Rose School District 14-15	Central District 51		

Education Funding

Operating Expenditures per pupil

- But the highest spending districts grew too:

	1995	2002	Diff	%
Highest	\$15,744	\$18,193	\$3,913	16%

- Leaving an even larger spending gap:

	1995	2002
Highest	\$15,744	\$18,193
Lowest	\$ 2,932	\$ 4,339
Difference	\$12,812	\$13,854

Education Funding

Today's increase at tomorrow's cost

- Increases in Education Spending

FY2006

FY2007

\$330 Million

\$415 Million

- Teacher Pension Funding Cuts:

FY2006

FY2007

\$522 Million

\$498 Million

Education Funding Summary

- During the highest revenue growth period EVER for Illinois Schools:
 - Spending outpaced revenues at even greater rates
 - The number of districts deficit spending increased; with deficit spending increasing considerably more in “rich” districts.
 - While the number of poor districts deficit spending increased, the total deficit in these districts decreased.
 - Gaps between lowest and highest spending districts deepened, with student performance in the lowest spending district as good as—or better—than student performance in the highest spending district.